

5. The wearable computer of claim 1, further including a remote communication device that communicates with the process control system and wherein the process information is a process value obtained from a device by the process control system and sent to the wearable computer via the remote communication device.

---

**REMARKS**

Claims 3, 4 and 5 are amended to clarify that these claims are directed to a wearable computer that provides diagnostic information, help information or a process value associated with any device within a process environment, as opposed to some identified device. As a result, these amendments are broadening and are not being made to overcome prior art.

**Response to the Restriction Requirement**

Applicants hereby elect Group II as identified by the examiner in the communication dated August 14, 2001, without traverse. As a result, and based on the examiner's identified groupings, applicants' elect to prosecute claims 3, 4, 7-30, 55-59, 61 and 62 (identified as being within Group II), claims 1, 38 and 60 (identified as independent linking claims) and claims 39, 41-44, 46-49, 51-54 and 64 (identified as common claims). Applicants also submit that claims 5 and 6 are common claims. As a result, applicants submit that claims 1, 3-30, 38, 39, 41-44, 46-49, 51-62 and 64 should be considered on the merits.

While the examiner characterizes the claims of Group II as being "drawn to optical character recognition on the image frame," applicants note that claims 3, 4 and 55-59 are not specifically directed either to voice recognition or to optical character recognition and, therefore, are actually common claims. Furthermore, while claims 13-18, 21-27, 30 and 61 are, in some manner, related to image processing, these claims are not limited to optical character recognition on an image frame.

The examiner correctly notes some of the first group of claims, i.e., claims 2, 31-37,